

Dr. Jerry Scheidbach Brain Massage<sup>®</sup>: Show No. 994

TITLE OF SHOW

Original Air Date: 07.13.24

Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the Lighthouse Baptist Church in Santa Maria, CA, and your brain masseur. Get ready for your brain massage.

I'm glad the GOP moved to amend the Republican Platform in the way they did — but not for the reasons you might expect! Let's examine the new MAGA Platform honestly and, perhaps I should say, fearlessly.<sup>1</sup>

The House failed to pass the resolution of criminal contempt against Garland, imposing a fine of \$10,000 for every day he refuses to surrender the Biden "tapes" to Congress. The vote was 210 Nays to 204 Yeas. Four Republicans voted against the resolution.<sup>2</sup>

Of course, this brings up "The Biden" Question: To go, or not to go — that is the question — for Biden and his family, that is. But the question for the Demoncrat Elites is how to get it done — [INSERT WICKED WITCH Delicately-Laughter: 08 seconds]

Our question is who will replace him? And my question is how did the Demoncrats get themselves into this mess to begin with?

An even more concerning question is how the Demoncrats expect to steal the election this time. Hehe!

Which brings up the fate of the SAVE Act (Safeguard American Voter Eligibility) which passed the House with the support of five

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 994.16.3-ICYMI/ RNC Platform Committee Adopts 2024 Republican Party Platform | GOP https://www.gop.com/press-release/icymi-rnc-platform-committee-adopts-2024-republican-party-platform/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 994.23.1-GARLAND Full List of Republicans Who Voted Not to Fine Merrick Garland -Newsweek https://www.newsweek.com/full-list-republicans-who-voted-not-finemerrick-garland-1924028

Democrats<sup>3</sup> — the effort on the part of the Republicans to secure election integrity by requiring all who vote to show proof of US citizenship. President alleged Biden declared he would veto it, and every Senate Demoncrat voted against this Bill in the Senate.

Okay, my friends, it's time for your Brain Massage®.

[TRUTH]

I'm glad the GOP moved to amend the Republican Platform in the way they did — but not for the reasons you might expect! Let's examine the new MAGA Platform honestly and, perhaps I should say, fearlessly.<sup>4</sup>

I support Donald Trump for President in the 2024 election — but that does not mean I support every position he takes. I've had no. respect for the Republican Party for a very long time—and it's partly related, well, let me tell you the two things that disgust me about the Republican Party.

One, when we give them power, they are spineless and will not use it to execute wrath upon the evildoers — they think it's gentlemanly to "let bygones" go — for example, the Garland resolution to hold him in criminal contempt. Agreed, most Republicans stepped up for this, but two California GOPers and two from Ohio, blocked it. They have the power to hold these criminals to account, and fail to do it. This is not a one-off. It's a characteristic of the GOP. They consistently refuse to obey the purpose of government outlined in Romans 13:1-6. That's one! The other reason I have a problem with the Republican Party, especially with regard to the PLATFORM, is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 994.27.5-Full List of Democrats Who Voted For GOP Voter ID Bill - Newsweek https:// www.newsweek.com/house-vote-safeguard-american-voter-eligibility-save-actpassed-1923392

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 994.16.3-ICYMI/ RNC Platform Committee Adopts 2024 Republican Party Platform | GOP https://www.gop.com/press-release/icymi-rnc-platform-committee-adopts-2024-republican-party-platform/

that, at least here in CA, the Republicans have never respected the Platform planks on life and marriage.

I am concerned about the pivot the Republican Party is taking on two very important issues: the abortion and the queer questions the first impacting the sanctity of life and the latter the sanctity of marriage.

In 2012, the Republicans had the strongest pro-life statement ever;<sup>5</sup> the 2016 platform also had a very strong statement on the right to life that modeled the 2012 statement very closely<sup>6</sup>—each declared the "right to life" acknowledged as included in the inalienable rights intended in the Declaration were recognized as extended to the unborn child. Remember, Trump ran on this platform in 2016.

Both also had very strong statements regarding the sanctity of marriage.

But in 2020, no statement regarding the sanctity of marriage was included; in fact, the word *marriage* is not mentioned in the 2020 platform.<sup>7</sup> The statement on abortion was reduced from a brilliant examination of the Constitution's protection of the right to life and that it must be extended to the infant in the womb to this: "Protect unborn life through every means available." [IBID]

In 2024, like 2020, no reference to any concern about protecting the sanctity of marriage, and NOTHING, not a word, about protecting the unborn baby!

If you are a Trump supporter and you are offended by my willingness to be honest about my concerns, you are asking me for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 994.27.8-2012 Republican Party Platform | The American Presidency Project https://

www.presidency.ucsb.edu/documents/2012-republican-party-platform 994.27.9-2012 GOP Platform read the Appendix 1- 2012 GOP Platform statement on the sanctity and dignity of human life:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 994.16.1-2016 GOP Platform prod-cdn-static.gop 994.27.2-SAVE Act text congress <u>https://www.congress.gov/118/bills/s4292/BILLS-118s4292is</u> See Appendix 2 2016 GOP Platform statement The Fifth Amendment: Protecting Human Life.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 994.16.2-2020 GOP Platform https://ballotpedia.org/ The\_Republican\_Party\_Platform,\_2020

something I can't give you! I will never lie to you or attempt in any way to manipulate you!

Trump's position on life and marriage, specifically the question of queer marriages, are concerns I've had about Trump going back as far as 2015,<sup>8</sup> even before the historic ride down the Trump Tower escalator to announce his candidacy for President. Up until now, he has been willing to be quiet about his personal opinions on these issues, but now he is making moves to define the Republican Party around his personal values. Many Republicans share these values in common with Trump. I don't!

So, the GOP Platform is under attack! But this is not new. Social liberals have lurked about in the Republican Party all along—and have always been very uncomfortable with this CHRISTIANIZING of the Party.

Tell me, who among the Republicans is giving us the greatest amount of trouble trying to hold on to our rights and liberties? It's consistently the Aristos — whom among the Grand Old Party are obstructionists on the Border, on Election Integrity, for two examples? Who are the Republicans who have no spine when it comes to holding the traitors accountable for their crimes? It's the same Republicans who are wishy-washy on abortion and marriage — with the exception of Trump. I have a theory that might explain that, but no time to go there right now. Perhaps during the Brain Massage® LIVE Saturday night, I can throw that out there.

Many Evangelicals are concerned Trump is abandoning the "LIFE" plank and cozying up to the Queers.

Well, let's be honest! Trump has never been completely with us on the abortion issue — neither was Reagan. And as far as the Queer issue is concerned, again, nothing has changed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 994.27.4-Donald Trump presidential campaign, 2016 - Ballotpedia https://ballotpedia.org/Donald\_Trump\_presidential\_campaign,\_2016

I am disappointed, nonetheless, that he has countenanced the complete removal of the "right to life" for the unborn from the platform and that he offers zero support for the sanctity of marriage.

I said, disappointed but not surprised.

At first, I thought this was only another manifestation of that cursed BIG TENT Republicanism embraced by Reagan. Reagan, however, made mitigating statements qualifying his support for Big-Tentism.

BIG TENT Republicans have never been comfortable with the social issues—abortion, homosexuality, and so forth. They think these are not "political" issues.

Funny, though; this was not always the way Republicans thought. Slavery was a social and moral issue, but they were willing to recognize it had political ramifications and had to be addressed with political solutions.

The GOP has tried to dodge abortion and homosexuality by denying they are political — but we've been trying to tell them all along that these issues have political implications.

Murdering babies in the womb discounts the value of every human life, and queering America has become a personal rights nightmare.

Anyway, I discovered that these changes are driven by MAGA and not merely another Reagan-era example of "big tent" Republicanism.

By the way, I spoke against Reagan's idea of a "big tent" when he first began promoting that notion, and I warned it would destroy everything he worked so hard to accomplish.

Trump's position is exactly what it has always been; all the movements I've seen from Trump on this issue have been in our direction.

Until now! I understand Trump endorsed the GOP platform and pushed for this.

So, it's not the "Aristo" Republicans up to their same tiresome crawfishing, spineless, maneuvering to expand the "tent." But it definitely serves their interests and surely gives them cause to smile!

This is the reason I do not send money to the Republican Party — at least, I try not to.

Okay, let's look at the twenty planks of the new GOP platform:<sup>9</sup>

- 1. SEAL THE BORDER AND STOP THE MIGRANT INVASION
- 2. CARRY OUT THE LARGEST DEPORTATION OPERATION IN AMERICAN HISTORY
- 3. END INFLATION, AND MAKE AMERICA AFFORDABLE AGAIN
- 4. MAKE AMERICA THE DOMINANT ENERGY PRODUCER IN THE WORLD BY FAR!
- 5. STOP OUTSOURCING AND TURN THE UNITED STATES INTO A MANUFACTURING SUPERPOWER
- 6. LARGE TAX CUTS FOR WORKERS AND NO TAX ON TIPS!
- 7. DEFEND OUR CONSTITUTION, OUR BILL OF RIGHTS, AND OUR FUNDAMENTAL FREEDOMS, INCLUDING FREEDOM OF SPEECH, FREEDOM OF RELIGION, AND THE RIGHT TO KEEP AND BEAR ARMS
- 8. PREVENT WORLD WAR THREE, RESTORE PEACE IN EUROPE AND IN THE MIDDLE EAST, AND BUILD A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> 994.16.3-ICYMI/ RNC Platform Committee Adopts 2024 Republican Party Platform I GOP https-//www.gop.com/press-release/icymi-rnc-platform-committee-adopts-2024-republican-party-platform/

GREAT IRON DOME MISSILE DEFENSE SHIELD OVER OUR ENTIRE COUNTRY -- ALL MADE IN AMERICA

- 9. END THE WEAPONIZATION OF GOVERNMENT AGAINST THE AMERICAN PEOPLE
- 10. STOP THE MIGRANT CRIME EPIDEMIC, DEMOLISH THE FOREIGN DRUG CARTELS, CRUSH GANG VIOLENCE, AND LOCK UP VIOLENT OFFENDERS
- 11. REBUILD OUR CITIES, INCLUDING WASHINGTON DC, MAKING THEM SAFE, CLEAN, AND BEAUTIFUL AGAIN.
- 12. STRENGTHEN AND MODERNIZE OUR MILITARY, MAKING IT, WITHOUT QUESTION, THE STRONGEST AND MOST POWERFUL IN THE WORLD
- 13. KEEP THE U.S. DOLLAR AS THE WORLD'S RESERVE CURRENCY
- 14. FIGHT FOR AND PROTECT SOCIAL SECURITY AND MEDICARE WITH NO CUTS, INCLUDING NO CHANGES TO THE RETIREMENT AGE
- 15. CANCEL THE ELECTRIC VEHICLE MANDATE AND CUT COSTLY AND BURDENSOME REGULATIONS
- 16. CUT FEDERAL FUNDING FOR ANY SCHOOL PUSHING CRITICAL RACE THEORY, RADICAL GENDER IDEOLOGY, AND OTHER INAPPROPRIATE RACIAL, SEXUAL, OR POLITICAL CONTENT ON OUR CHILDREN
- 17. KEEP MEN OUT OF WOMEN'S SPORTS
- 18. DEPORT PRO-HAMAS RADICALS AND MAKE OUR COLLEGE CAMPUSES SAFE AND PATRIOTIC AGAIN
- 19. SECURE OUR ELECTIONS, INCLUDING SAME-DAY VOTING, VOTER IDENTIFICATION, PAPER BALLOTS, AND PROOF OF CITIZENSHIP

## 20. UNITE OUR COUNTRY BY BRINGING IT TO NEW AND RECORD LEVELS OF SUCCESS

There is probably nothing in that list you don't agree with. The problem is with what is missing. I've addressed that!

I need to conclude the first segment: Trump and the Republicans are using a split-the-baby strategy in dealing with abortion.

Splitting the Baby on abortion is not a viable position.

This comes from Solomon's answer to a dispute between two mothers over the motherhood of a baby (1 Kings 3). He called for a sword and ordered the baby to be severed and half given to each mother. The true mother cried out and gave up her claim to spare the baby. The pretending mother agreed that the judgment was just. Solomon discerned that mother that truly cared about the life of the child was the true mother and awarded her the child. From this, politicians like to talk about "splitting the baby."

But Solomon NEVER intended to kill the Baby—splitting the baby politics must never result in the murder of a baby. To say we are going to split the weeks during which a baby has a right to life is absurd. The question is simple — does human life begin at conception? Science says YES! Drawing the line anywhere else is arbitrary, and you are playing God.

As for the GOP Platform abandoning our concerns against the Queering of America — if we area talking about opposition to forcing the Queer agenda on the public, the 2024 platform is very strong on this point: planks 16 and 17 address our immediate concerns.

Okay, let's take a short break. I'll be right back!

Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor at the Lighthouse, your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern lighter, lighting the lamps in the church belfry arch, signaling the enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our liberty. The House failed to pass the resolution of criminal contempt against Garland, imposing a fine of \$10,000 for every day he refuses to obey the subpoena and surrender the Biden "tapes" to Congress. The vote was 210 Nays to 204 Yeas. Four Republicans voted against the resolution.<sup>10</sup>

Remember, earlier, the vote to hold Garland in Contempt was 216-207, with five Democrats joining the Yeas.<sup>11</sup> Demoncrats have two of President Trump's advisors sitting in jail right now for refusing to submit to a subpoena under the claim of "executive privilege." I think they are political prisoners, and their convictions are unjust. Why is Garland different! Garland is not a member of Biden's cabinet, he is not supposed to be "loyal" to the President but to the Constitution; Bannon and Navaro were not appointed by Congressional examination, and were not officiating in any formal capacity over any branch of our government. Furthermore, neither Bannon nor Navaro were not withholding evidence legally obtained by someone appointed by government — that is, they were not withholding the PEOPLE's PROPERTY when they withheld their personal testimony, and upon all these grounds rejected the subpoena as being outside the authority of the Congress. Garland, however, has none of these excuses and all of these responsibilities. Besides, he is not being asked to testify, he is being asked to surrender testimony to the Congress that belongs to the PEOPLE.

By the way, this is all about releasing the tapes (audio recordings) of Special Counsel Robert Hur's interview (so as not to call it an "interrogation") of President (Alleged) Biden.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 994.23.1-GARLAND Full List of Republicans Who Voted Not to Fine Merrick Garland -Newsweek https://www.newsweek.com/full-list-republicans-who-voted-not-finemerrick-garland-1924028

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> 994.23.2-House GOP votes to hold Attorney General Garland in contempt over Biden audio recordings | CNN Politics https://www.cnn.com/2024/06/12/politics/house-vote-merrick-garland-contempt/index.html

The interrogation by Hur was regarding allegations of Biden's criminal violations of the Records Act—you know, that business of him taking classified documents into his personal possession, storing them in his garage, and sharing the information in those documents with foreign powers, including our enemies, archiving them in an office purchased for him by CCP money — that!

You might remember that the Hur investigation concluded with a finding that, in fact, Biden did violate the law and committed crimes. The reason the DOJ gave for not pursuing criminal charges was some nonsense about him being old and feeble and that they doubted any jury would convict. I say follow through with the court case and let that decision be made by those with the LEGAL authority to make that determination. The whole thing was a conspiracy to protect der Bidenmeister.

Adding insult to injury, Garland submitted an internally prepared transcript of the audio recordings, but the House Republicans had reason to believe the reason he refused to submit the audio recordings was to hide pertinent information from exposure to the Congress and to Americans. Like the Hunter lap top cover up—all to interfere with an election. So they subpoenaed the recordings. Garland refused!

The Speaker, with the support of the House Republicans, decided to form a resolution of criminal contempt against Garland, and the vote came down to 210-204, with 4 Republicans voting with the Demoncrats. If they weren't traitors who care nothing for the rule of law, the vote would have been 206-208. They're vote made the difference.

Of course, this brings up "The Biden" Question: To go, or not to go is the question Biden needs to answer. The question for the Demoncrat Elites (Obama, Pelosi, Kamala?) is how to get it done — [INSERT WICKED WITCH Delicately-Laughter: 08 seconds] Indeed, such things must be done delicately! Spend years propping someone up to your voter base and then suddenly flip on him is likely to disturb the "magic" of Democrat "UNITY."

Hillary — the greatest political rematch in history! Michael/ Michelle? Bongino worked with the Obamas personally on their security team—he says Michael hates politics and won't do it. Kamala—she actually has some supporters, and whatever reasons were in play when she was selected to be the VP, though mysterious and unfathomable, still exist, apparently!

But the overarching concern is how the Demoncrats expect to steal the election this time.

This brings up the SAVE Act (Safeguard American Voter Eligibility Act), an effort by the MAGA Republicans to secure election integrity by requiring all voters to show proof of US citizenship. President ALLEGED Biden declared he would veto it, but he never had the chance because every Senate Democrat voted against this Bill. I can't find if any Republican Senators voted against it! If you have information on that, send it my say.

The DEVIL Crats — in case you miss my meaning with Demoncrat — are disingenuous. They dismiss the SAVE Act as moot (unnecessary or already addressed) because in 1993 Congress passed the National Voter Registration Act, and then-President Bill Clinton signed it into law: this Act stipulates that all American Citizens are to be allowed to vote in our Federal elections.<sup>12</sup>

The Dirty-Devil-Dems also cite the 1996 law titled the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act, which explicitly prohibits noncitizens from voting in federal elections.<sup>13</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> 994.27.7-National Voter Registration Act of 1993 - Wikipedia https://en.wikipedia.org/ wiki/National\_Voter\_Registration\_Act\_of\_1993

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> 994.27.6-Five Things to Know About the SAVE Act | Bipartisan Policy Center https://bipartisanpolicy.org/blog/five-things-to-know-about-the-save-act/

What they don't tell you is that neither of these expressly defines a requirement to produce proof of citizenship when registering to vote in Federal elections. That's the loophole the SAVE Act closes.

Eligible documents include REAL ID, a valid US Passport, military ID and service record, a government-issued photo ID showing birthplace, or a government-issued photo ID that does not include birthplace or citizenship but is supported by an additional valid secondary document. [IBID]

So, who would be opposed to requiring proof of citizenship to vote? Would it be the same people who are letting millions of illegal immigrants cross our borders? Would it be the people who are telling these illegals, "Don't forget to vote for Biden"? Would it be the people who are giving registration forms to illegal aliens applying for assistants where they are told they don't need to show any ID to complete the voter registration form that's included in their packet? Would it be the same people who outrageously stole the 2020 election? Might it be them?

To say they oppose it because it's already illegal is very simply a LIE!

They oppose it because they are running out of ways to steal the election, and they need a massive number of illegals to vote in order to overcome Trump's massive popularity.

You might wonder why I continue to support Trump although I am very concerned about him lately pushing his values regarding abortion and queer marriage to shape the Republican Party. Let's hope Trump takes another look at these two issues with a copy of that Bible he had printed and comes to TRUTH on these issues.

I think it's clear he is a lover of truth! Don't give me your "he's a liar" stuff without stipulating the lie you are accusing him of telling. He has been one of the most honest Presidents we've ever seen.

Okay, let's wrap it up!~

I'm preaching a series titled THE BIBLE AND THE BILL OF RIGHTS.

I'm teaching through the book of Jude during my Bible Study at 9:30 AM; and preaching my series THE BIBLE AND THE BILL OF RIGHTS Sunday morning service beginning at 10:45 and the Sunday evening service beginning at 5 PM.

All who attend all services through July will receive a free copy of my book, The BIBLE, and the Bill of Rights.

I want to extend to you a personal invitation to come meet Becky, me, and the friendly and loving family of the Lighthouse!

Finally, Rus Miller the Creation Evangelist, is coming next week

I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch, and now you let me know if you see them.

Email me by going to our website at branmassage.net. That's brainmassage.net. Find the CONTACT button and send me an email.

You can leave a message on our Listener Response Hotline: 805.314.2114.

God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church.

APPENDIX 1: 2012 GOP Platform on the sanctity and dignity of human life:

The Sanctity and Dignity of Human Life

Faithful to the "self-evident" truths enshrined in the Declaration of Independence, we assert the sanctity of human life and affirm that the unborn child has a fundamental individual right to life which cannot be infringed. We support a human life amendment to the Constitution and endorse legislation to make clear that the Fourteenth Amendment's protections apply to unborn children. We oppose using public revenues to promote or perform abortion or fund organizations which perform or advocate it and will not fund or subsidize health care which includes abortion coverage. We support the appointment of judges who respect traditional family values and the sanctity of innocent human life. We oppose the nonconsensual withholding or withdrawal of care or treatment, including food and water, from people with disabilities, including newborns, as well as the elderly and infirm, just as we oppose active and passive euthanasia and assisted suicide.

Republican leadership has led the effort to prohibit the barbaric practice of partial-birth abortion and permitted States to extend health care coverage to children before birth. We urge Congress to strengthen the Born Alive Infant Protection Act by enacting appropriate civil and criminal penalties on healthcare providers who fail to provide treatment and care to an infant who survives an abortion, including early induction delivery where the death of the infant is intended. We call for legislation to ban sex-selective abortions-gender discrimination in its most lethal form-and to protect from abortion unborn children who are capable of feeling pain; and we applaud U.S. House Republicans for leading the effort to protect the lives of pain-capable unborn children in the District of Columbia. We call for a ban on the use of body parts from aborted fetuses for research. We support and applaud adult stem cell research to develop lifesaving therapies, and we oppose the killing of embryos for their stem cells. We oppose federal funding of embryonic stem cell research.

We also salute the many States that have passed laws for informed consent, mandatory waiting periods prior to an abortion, and health-protective clinic regulation. We seek to protect young girls from exploitation through a parental consent requirement; and we affirm our moral obligation to assist, rather than penalize, women challenged by an unplanned pregnancy. We salute those who provide them with counseling and adoption alternatives and empower them to choose life, and we take comfort in the tremendous increase in adoptions that has followed Republican legislative initiatives.

APPENDIX 2: 2016 GOP Platform The Fifth Amendment: Protecting Human Life:

The Fifth Amendment: Protecting Human Life The Constitution's guarantee that no one can "be deprived of life, liberty or property" deliberately echoes the Declaration of Independence's proclamation that "all" are "endowed by their Creator" with the inalienable right to life. Accordingly, we assert the sanctity of human life and affirm that the unborn child has a fundamental right to life which cannot be infringed. We support a human life amendment to the Constitution and legislation to make clear that the Fourteenth Amendment's protections apply to children before birth. We oppose the use of public funds to perform or promote abortion or to fund organizations, like Planned Parenthood, so long as they provide or refer for elective abortions or sell fetal body parts rather than provide healthcare. We urge all states and Congress to make it a crime to acquire, transfer, or sell fetal tissues from elective abortions for research, and we call on Congress to enact a ban on any sale of fetal body parts. In the meantime, we call on Congress to ban the practice of misleading women on so-called fetal harvesting consent forms, a fact revealed by a 2015 investigation. We will not fund or subsidize healthcare that includes abortion coverage. We support the appointment of judges who respect traditional family values and the sanctity of innocent human life. We oppose the non-consensual withholding or withdrawal of care or treatment, • R E P U B L I C A N P L A T F O R M 2 0 1 6 • 14 including food and water, from individuals with disabilities, newborns, the elderly, or the infirm, just as we oppose euthanasia

and assisted suicide. We affirm our moral obligation to assist, rather than penalize, women who face an unplanned pregnancy. In order to encourage women who face an unplanned pregnancy to choose life, we support legislation that requires financial responsibility for the child be equally borne by both the mother and father upon conception until the child reaches adulthood. Failure to require a father to be equally responsible for a child places an inequitable burden on the mother, creating a financial and social hardship on both mother and child. We celebrate the millions of Americans who open their hearts, homes, and churches to mothers in need and women fleeing abuse. We thank and encourage providers of counseling, medical services, and adoption assistance for empowering women experiencing an unintended pregnancy to choose life. We support funding for ultrasounds and adoption assistance. We salute the many states that now protect women and girls through laws requiring informed consent, parental consent, waiting periods, and clinic regulation. We condemn the Supreme Court's activist decision in Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt striking down commonsense Texas laws providing for basic health and safety standards in abortion clinics. We applaud the U.S. House of Representatives for leading the effort to add enforcement to the Born-Alive Infant Protection Act by passing the Born-Alive Abortion Survivors Protection Act, which imposes appropriate civil and criminal penalties on healthcare providers who fail to provide treatment and care to an infant who survives an abortion, including early induction delivery whether the death of the infant is intended. We strongly oppose infanticide. Over a dozen states have passed Pain Capable Unborn Child Protection Acts prohibiting abortion after twenty weeks, the point at which current medical research shows that unborn babies can feel excruciating pain during abortions, and we call on Congress to enact the federal version. Not only is it good legislation, but it enjoys the support of a majority of the American people. We support state and federal efforts against

the cruelest forms of abortion, especially dismemberment abortion procedures, in which unborn babies are literally torn apart limb from limb. We call on Congress to ban sex-selection abortions and abortions based on disabilities — discrimination in its most lethal form. We oppose embryonic stem cell research. We oppose federal funding of embryonic stem cell research. We support adult stem cell research and urge the restoration of the national placental stem cell bank created by President George H.W. Bush but abolished by his Democrat successor, President Bill Clinton. We oppose federal funding for harvesting embryos and call for a ban on human cloning. The Democratic Party is extreme on abortion. Democrats' almost limitless support for abortion, and their strident opposition to even the most basic restrictions on abortion, put them dramatically out of step with the American people. Because of their opposition to simple abortion clinic safety procedures, support for taxpayer-funded abortion, and rejection of pregnancy resource centers that provide abortion alternatives, the old Clinton mantra of "safe, legal, and rare" has been reduced to just "legal." We are proud to be the party that protects human life and offers real solutions for women.